

Lecture 11

Pei-Chen Chen

Nov 4, 2013

1 Homework Related

- HW2 Due on Nov 13 (Wednesday)
- Use Python for the problem on MapReduce in HW2

2 Python

- Python Version: Python 2: 2.6 and 2.7 (version on Gauss)
Python 3: 3.2 and 3.3
- It does not matter which version you choose but recommend to use the same version across machines. (Prof. Baines will use Python 2 in class.)
- Recommend Software: PyCharm
- Python examples

1. Combine two strings

```
foo = 10.0
bar = "hello"
print bar
baz = "class"
foo2 = bar +baz
# foo2 puts 2 strings together
```

2. Conditional Statement

```
if (i > 0):
[tab] print( "i is...
```

```
[tab] ...
[tab] ...
#the code has to be tab indented
#Don't recommend to copy and paste in Python because it may change the indentation.
```

3. For loop

for i in 1:n: is equal to **for i in range(0, n):**

4. foo = 1,2,3,4,5

```
foo = list(foo)
print foo      (1,2,3,4,5)
print type(foo) < type 'list' >
print foo[0:3] (1, 2, 3)
print foo[1:]  (2, 3, 4, 5)
print foo[:1]  (1,)
bar=foo[2:]
print bar      [3, 4, 5]
foo[3]=100
print foo      [1, 2, 3, 100, 5]
```

5. Convert between string, int and float

```
print "float('6.5')" + str(float('6.5'))    →float('6.5')6.5
print "int('6.5')" + str(int('6.5'))        → shows error (can't print )
print "int(float('6.5'))" + str(int(float('6.5')))) → int(float('6.5'))6
```

3 Bayes + Big Data

- Bayes Recap:

$X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{i.i.d}{\sim} N(\mu, \sigma^2)$, where σ^2 known and $\mu \sim N(\mu_0, \sigma_0^2)$

$$\mu | \vec{X} \sim N\left(\frac{\frac{\mu_0}{\sigma_0^2} + \sum x_i}{\frac{1}{\sigma_0^2} + \frac{n}{\sigma^2}}, \frac{1}{\frac{1}{\sigma_0^2} + \frac{n}{\sigma^2}}\right)$$

General: Likelihood $p(\vec{x}|\theta)$; Prior $p(\theta)$ → Posterior: $p(\theta|\vec{x}) \propto p(\theta)p(\vec{x}|\theta)$

If $p(\theta|\vec{x})$ has no closed form, then use MCMC.

- For big data, when the \vec{x} in $p(\vec{x}|\theta)$ is massive, applying MCMC directly to the full dataset is not computably feasible.
- Idea: "Chuck" the full data set into a series of smaller datasets, sample for the posterior of each smaller dataset and "combine" results. (Treat each one as a separate model and pull together to estimate the posterior distributions.)
- $p(\theta|\vec{x}) \propto p(\theta)p(\vec{x}|\theta) \rightarrow$ divide the \vec{x} from $p(\vec{x}|\theta)$ to different dataset. Assume X's are conditionally independent given θ .

$$\prod_{j=1}^s \{p(\theta)^{1/s} p(\vec{x}_j|\theta)\}$$

(If things are conditionally independent.)

- But it is still not the same as if we sample from the full data. Thus...
Distribute:
 \vec{X}_n (full data) to

$$\begin{array}{cccccc} \vec{X}_1 & \vec{X}_2 & \dots & \vec{X}_s & \text{(data)} \\ \pi(\theta)^{q_1} \pi(\theta)^{q_2} & \dots & \pi(\theta)^{q_s} & & \text{(prior)} \end{array}$$

(Note: $q_1 \dots q_s$ don't have to have the same amount.)

Where $\sum_{j=1}^s q_j = 1, q_j > 0$, for all j , $\cup_j \vec{X}_j = \vec{X}, \cap_j \vec{X}_j = \emptyset$

- Treat each \vec{X}_j and $\pi(\theta)^{q_j}$ as a full model and run MCMC.
Aside,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu &\sim N(\mu_0, \sigma_0^2) \\ p(\mu)^{q_j}, p(\mu) &\propto \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2\sigma_0^2}(\mu - \mu_0)^2\right\}^{q_j} \end{aligned}$$

- Note: In the google talk, if $\theta^{(t)}$ is discrete we can't do weight average. We have to do the density and average over the density.
- For weights, it turns out that a good choice is:

$$w_j = \text{Var}^{-1}(\theta|\vec{X}_j)$$

- EX: $X_{ij}, \dots, X_{ijk_j} \stackrel{i.i.d}{\sim} N(\mu, \sigma^2)$, $\mu \sim N(\mu_0, \sigma_0^2)$
 Chunk to $\vec{X}_j = \{X_{ij_1}, X_{ij_2}, \dots, X_{ijk_j}\}$ where $\{i_{j1}, \dots, i_{jk_j}\}$ are indices \leftarrow responding to the subject.

$$Model_j : X_{ij}, \dots, X_{ijk_j} \stackrel{i.i.d}{\sim} N(\mu, \sigma^2), \quad \mu \sim N(\mu_0, \frac{\sigma_0^2}{q_j})$$

$$\mu | \vec{X}_j \sim N\left(\frac{\frac{q_j \mu_0}{\sigma_0^2} + \frac{\sum x_j}{\sigma^2}}{\frac{q_j}{\sigma_0^2} + \frac{k_j}{\sigma^2}}, \frac{1}{\frac{q_j}{\sigma_0^2} + \frac{k_j}{\sigma^2}}\right)$$

Combine: $\mu = \frac{\sum w_j \mu_j}{\sum w_j}$, $w_j = \frac{q_j}{\sigma_0^2} + \frac{k_j}{\sigma^2}$

$$\rightarrow \sum w_j = \frac{q_j}{\sigma_0^2} + \frac{k_j}{\sigma^2} = \frac{1}{\sigma_0^2} + \frac{n}{\sigma^2}$$

$$Var(\mu) = \frac{1}{(\frac{1}{\sigma_0^2} + \frac{n}{\sigma^2})^2} \sum_j w_j^2 Var(\mu_j)$$

where $\sum_j w_j^2 Var(\mu_j)$ reduce to $\sum_j w_j$

$$E[\mu] = \frac{\sum_j w_j E[\mu_j]}{\sum w_j} = \frac{\sum_j \frac{q_j \mu_0}{\sigma_0^2} + \sum \sum x_j}{\frac{1}{\sigma_0^2} + \frac{n}{\sigma^2}} = \frac{\frac{\mu_0}{\sigma_0^2} + \frac{\sum x}{\sigma^2}}{\frac{1}{\sigma_0^2} + \frac{n}{\sigma^2}}$$

where $\sum x$ in the last term contains the full sum of data points

$$\mu \sim N(E[\mu], Var[\mu])$$

- Comments:
 1. It's not gonna work if the data is not normal but suppose n is large and sub data size = $\{d_1, \dots, d_s\}$. If the d_j 's are large what happens to $p(\theta | \vec{X}_j)$?
 \rightarrow Become normal (Posterior converge to normal: CLT result)
 2. If the d_j 's are large. They are approximately normal \rightarrow consensus MC will still work well!!